Limited English Proficiency Plan City of Newark

U.S. Census Bureau American FactFinder

<u>DP-2. Profile of Selected Social Characteristics: 2000</u>
Data Set: <u>Census 2000 Summary File 3 (SF 3) - Sample Data</u>
Geographic Area: **Newark city, Ohio**

NOTE: Corrected counts are available for one or more geographies displayed in this table.

NOTE: Data based on a sample except in P3, P4, H3, and H4. For information on confidentiality protection, sampling error, nonsampling error, definitions, and count corrections see http://factfinder.census.gov/home/en/datanotes/expsf3.htm.

| Subject | Number | Percer |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| SCHOOL ENROLLMENT | | |
| Population 3 years and over enrolled in school | 10,896 | 100. |
| Nursery school, preschool | 906 | 8. |
| Kindergarten | 698 | 6. |
| Elementary school (grades 1-8) | 5,144 | 47. |
| High school (grades 9-12) | 2,361 | 21. |
| College or graduate school | 1,787 | 16. |
| EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT | | |
| Population 25 years and over | 30,178 | 100. |
| Less than 9th grade | 1,585 | 5. |
| 9th to 12th grade, no diploma | 4,246 | 14. |
| High school graduate (includes equivalency) | 12,224 | 40. |
| | 5,855 | 19.4 |
| Some college, no degree | | 6. |
| Associate degree | 1,843 | |
| Bachelor's degree | 3,056 | 10. |
| Graduate or professional degree | 1,369 | 4. |
| Percent high school graduate or higher | 80.7 | (X |
| | 14.7 | <u>^\</u> (X) |
| Percent bachelor's degree or higher | 14.7 | |
| MARITAL STATUS | 44.074 | 400 |
| Population 15 years and over | 36,374 | 100.0 |
| Never married | 8,512 | 23.4 |
| Now married, except separated | 18,836 | 51.8 |
| Separated | 733 | 2,0 |
| Widowed | 2,981 | 8.2 |
| Female | 2,498 | 6.9 |
| Divorced | 5,312 | 14.6 |
| Female | 3,114 | 8.6 |
| GRANDPARENTS AS CAREGIVERS | ` | |
| Grandparent living in household with one or more own | 554 | 100.0 |
| grandchildren under 18 years | | |
| Grandparent responsible for grandchildren | 295 | 53.2 |
| /ETERAN STATUS | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Civilian population 18 years and over | 34,480 | 100.0 |
| Civilian veterans | 5,245 | 15.2 |
| DISABILITY STATUS OF THE CIVILIAN NONINSTITUTIONALIZED | | |
| Population 5 to 20 years | 10,112 | 100.0 |
| Vith a disability | 958 | 9.5 |
| | 25.04 | 400.0 |
| Population 21 to 64 years | 25,431 5 349 | 100.0 |
| Vith a disability | 5,348 | 21.0 |
| Percent employed | 56.1 | (X) |
| lo disability Percent employed | 20,083 80,2 | 79.0 (X) |
| rerealt employed | 00,2 | (^) |
| Population 65 years and over | 6,330 | 100.0 |
| Vith a disability | 2,800 | 44.2 |
| ESIDENCE IN 1995 | | |
| Population 5 years and over | 42,727 | 100.0 |

| Subject Same house in 1995 | Number 20,717 | Percer 48. |
|--|------------------|---------------|
| Different house in the U.S. in 1995 | 21,808 | 51. |
| Same county | 15,366 | 36. |
| Different county Same state | 6,442 4,087 | 15. . 9. |
| Different state | 2,355 | 5. |
| Elsewhere in 1995 | 202 | 0. |
| NATIVITY AND PLACE OF BIRTH | 40.445 | 100. |
| Total population Native | 46,115 45,607 | 98. |
| Born in United States | 45,304 | 98. |
| State of residence | 36,586 | 79. |
| Different state | 8,718 | 18.9 |
| Born outside United States | 303 | 0. |
| Foreign born Entered 1990 to March 2000 | 508 181 | 1. 0. |
| Naturalized citizen | 200 | 0.4 |
| Not a citizen , | 308 | 0. |
| REGION OF BIRTH OF FOREIGN BORN | | |
| Total (excluding born at sea) | 508 | 100.0 |
| Europe Asia | 188 225 | 37.0 44.3 |
| Asia Africa | 9 | 1.8 |
| Oceania | 0 | 0.0 |
| Latin America | 43 | 8.8 |
| Northern America | 43 | 8.5 |
| LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME | | |
| Population 5 years and over | 42,727 | 100.0 |
| English only | 41,482 | 97.1 |
| Language other than English | 1,245 | 2.9 |
| Speak English less than 'very well Spanish | 345 480 | 0,8 1.1 |
| Speak English less than "very well" | 138 | 0.3 |
| Other Indo-European languages | 479 | 1.1 |
| Speak English less than "very well" | 118 | 0.3 |
| Asian and Pacific Island languages Speak English less than "very well" | 232 | 0.5 0.2 |
| ANCESTRY (single or multiple) | | |
| Total population | 46,115 | 100.0 |
| Total ancestries reported | 42,259 | 91.6 |
| Arab Zech¹ | 70 | 0.2 |
| Danish | 117 65 | 0.3 0.1 |
| Dutch | 1,072 | 2.3 |
| inglish | 4,991 | 10.8 |
| rench (except Basque) ¹ | 985 | 2.1 |
| rench Canadian¹ | 76 | 0.2 |
| German Greek | 10,651 112 | 23.1 0.2 |
| lungarian | 279 | 0.2 |
| ish ¹ | 6,676 | 14.5 |
| alian | 1,992 | 4.3 |
| ithuanian | 36 | 0.1 |
| lorwegian olish | 94 538 | 0.2 |
| ortuguese | 47 | 1.2 0.1 |
| ussian | 62 | 0.1 |
| cotch-Irish | 813 | 1.8 |
| cottish | 793 | 1.7 |
| lovak | 69 | 0.1 |
| ubsaharan African wedish | 108 | 0.2 |
| wedish Wiss | 205 | 0.4 |
| wiss krainian | 34 | 0.3 0.1 |
| nited States or American | 6,265 | 13.6 |
| felsh | 975 | 2.1 |
| fest Indian (excluding Hispanic groups) | 18 | 0.0 |

| Subject | | |
|--------------------|--------|---------|
| Capital | Number | Percent |
| (X) Not applicable | 4,965 | 10.8 |

(X) Not applicable.

1 The data represent a combination of two ancestries shown separately in Summary File 3. Czech includes Czechoslovakian. French includes Alsatian. French Canadian includes Acadian/Cajun. Irish includes Celtic.

Ancestry Code List (PDF 35kB)
Place of Birth Code List (PDF 74kB)
Language Code List (PDF 17kB)
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000 Summary File 3, Matrices P18, P19, P21, P22, P24, P36, P37, P39, P42, PCT8, PCT16, PCT17, and PCT19

Introduction

Signed on August 11, 2000, Executive Order 13166 clarified Limited English Proficiency (LEP) requirements under Title VI. The Executive Order mandated that persons whose primary language is not English and who have a limited ability to speak, read, write, or understand English are entitled to language assistance with respect to a particular service, benefit, or encounter. All federal recipients are expected to make reasonable efforts to provide this language assistance.

Methodology

The methodology recommended by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to properly prepare a Limited English Proficiency Plan is as follows:

- 1) conduct a four factor analysis;
- 2) develop a Language Access Plan (LAP); and
- 3) provide appropriate language assistance.

Four Factor Analyses

Recipients must first assess and evaluate four factors when determining how to best serve eligible LEP persons. These factors include the number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity, or service of the recipient or grantee; the frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with the program; the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient; and the resources available and costs to the recipient.

Factor 1- The Proportion and Number of LEP Persons within the Eligible Area

The eligible area for this four factor analysis is the City of Newark, Ohio is a recipient of CDBG funding. The most recent data collected pertaining to language fluency is the 2000 Census information. Attachment A illustrates the general population over the age of 5 who report speaking English "not very well" or "not very well at all." . According to this information, less than one percent of Newark residents struggle with the English language

A survey was conducted throughout the City of Newark's Departments and Divisions and Elected Offices: Animal Control, Auditor, Cemetery and Parks, Clerk of Council, Development, Engineering, Fire, Human Resources, Income Tax, Law Director, Mayor, Police, Purchasing, Property; Maintenance, Safety, Service, Storm Water Utility, Streets, Traffic Control, Transit Operations, Treasurer, Water and Wastewater. In addition input was sought from the Licking County Municipal Court, Clerk of Courts, and Adult Probation.

The City's 2011 fourteen nonprofit sub recipients were also surveyed. They include nonprofits involved with emergency and transitional housing, disability services, educational centers, microenterprise, domestic violence, substance abuse, religious affiliated, and mental health services.

The majority of the respondents did not indicate that they encountered problems with LEP in their activities.

The Clerk of Courts, Municipal Court, Adult Probation, and the Division of Police indicated that they do encounter, LEP, usually Spanish speaking individuals. At times, the Div. of Police and Clerk of Courts encounter Eastern European (Russian and Ukrainian), and Somali speaking persons.

The Courts and Police have access to interpreters on an as need basis. However, comments received indicate that the vast majority of the nonprofits and city offices do not encounter LEP issues on a regular basis.

Factor 2-Frequency of Contact with LEP Individuals

CDBG funded agencies that implement intake applications for Newark's CDBG programs were conducted. Of those responding no one reported having an issue with LEP Individuals. The City Department of Development, which is charged with implementing CDBG, HOME, and Federal and State Transit grants has not had any LEP issues.

Factor 3- The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service provided by the recipient

The CDBG Program in Newark provides for several different types of programming designed to assist and improve the lives of the residents of the City as a whole; income eligible persons in particular. Programs range from funding for single family housing repair to public improvement programs on a larger scale such as a sanitary sewer extension. Typically the programs funded by CDBG require an application process and are generally not emergencies, though certain programs can fill urgent needs such as a roof repair or furnace replacement. While the CDBG program is important, delay of access to services would not be life threatening to LEP persons. Affording the recipient a reasonable amount of time to secure an interpreter or have

documents translated would not render a person ineligible for a program or cause a program to be inaccessible to a person struggling with English,

Factor 4- Resources available to Newark and overall costs

Although Newark serves a very limited number of LEP persons, the City is committed to removing as many barriers to information access as feasible. Occasional requests for an interpreter have been made in the previous five years, involving the Courts and Division of Police, it would be unnecessary for the City to employ an interpreter. The City's CDBG programs have never needed an interpreter. Likewise, the lack of requests for document translation would render premature translation of documents that will likely need frequent updating superfluous as well. Rather it is most logical to compile a resource list of interpreters and document translation services and to consider how existing agencies within Newark and Licking County might collaborate to provide these services as needed.

Language Access Plan

The following steps have been identified to reduce language barriers to LEP persons in Newark, OH

- At all CDBG public meetings have a staff person greet and speak briefly with each attendee to informally assess the attendee's ability to speak English.
- Formally document any instances of LEP persons, requests, or inquiries by each agency using CDBG funds. This information could also be used as a data source to identify potential future language needs.
- Post signage at public meetings, local agencies, and local government offices noting that language translation is available if requested.
- On the accessibility page of the City website include a note that interpreters and document translation services are available upon request.
- Identify a resource list of interpreters and document translation services.
- Collaborate with local agencies and institutions who are able to provide interpretation and translation services.
- Whenever information is made available in multiple languages (ex. Fair Housing brochures printed in Spanish by HUD) have the translations on file and on display if possible in the appropriate agencies,

- Review Census 2010 data when released to examine any potential changes in the LEP population.
- Post the LEP plan on the City of Newark website
- Review the LAP yearly and update as needed Updates should include interviews with CDBG administering agencies focusing on any new LEP encounters or requests for assistance, review of changes in resources lists, and review of changes in available local resources.