Street Committee Minutes

Honorable Council City of Newark, Ohio July 26, 2016

There was a meeting of the Service Committee in Council Chambers on Monday July 25, 2016 after Finance Committee with these members present:

Carol Floyd Jeff Rath
Dee Hall Alex Rolletta

Jeremy Blake Bill Cost for Curtis Johnson

Doug Marmie

We Wish to Report:

The vacation of the following alleys was discussed:

1. East Main St – between 226 and 232 East Main St, requested by Dormar Heating and Cooling

Brian Morehead- Dormar requested this alley to be vacated because they wish to close it off due to numerous counts of vandalism. There were no objections from Police or Fire so is there aren't any objections from committee members then I will move forward with this is by creating legislation and bringing it back to you.

2. South Pine St – adjacent to state owned property west of cul-de-sac at south end, requested by Ohio History Connection

Brian Morehead- the request came from the Ohio History Connection because they are in the process of purchasing the lots where the alley is and State Route 79 at the end of Pine Street. They aren't sure if they want to vacate it but we will have more dialogue with them. There is a part of one of the ancient mounds that crosses that area and in the future they would like to recreate that mound. There weren't any objections from Police or Fire on this if they decide to vacate. A resolution of intent will follow.

- 3. Grant St between 172 and 180 Grant St, requested by Marshall & Linda Hoy, 172 Grant St. **Brian Morehead** Marshall and Linda Hoy own the property at 172 Grant St and they have talked to the owner of 180 Grant Street, it's a dead end alley between the two properties and again there were no objections from Police or Fire. A resolution of intent will follow.
- 4. Steel Ave between South 30th and South 29th Streets, running south from Steel Ave, requested by Mike Moorhead, 144 South 30th St.

Brian Morehead-Police had no objections but Fire objected because the alley does provide them with access to the rear of commercial buildings so I would not recommend moving forward with this one and I will contact the requestor and let him know that fire prevention objected to the vacation.

Carol Floyd, Chair